

APR 23 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JORGE PEREZ TOLENTINO; BEATRIZ
ARAUZA PEREZ,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74643

Agency Nos. A75-725-871
A75-725-872

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 15, 2008^{**}

Before: B. FLETCHER, FISHER and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")
order denying petitioners' second motion to reopen.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-74643

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). A party may file only one motion to reopen removal proceedings, and that motion must be filed not later than ninety days after the date on which the final order of removal was entered. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.